Cellular Localization of Huntingtin in Striatal and Cortical Neurons in Rats: Lack of Correlation with Neuronal Vulnerability in Huntington’s Disease

Francesca R. Fusco, Quan Chen, William J. Lamoreaux, Griselle Figueredo-Cardenas, Yun Jiao, Jonathan A. Coffman, D. James Surmeier, Marcia G. Honig, Leon R. Carlock, and Anton Reiner

1Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, College of Medicine, The University of Tennessee–Memphis, The Health Sciences Center, Memphis, Tennessee 38163, 2Department of Biology, College of Staten Island, City University of New York, Staten Island, New York 10314, 3Department of Physiology/Northwestern University Institute for Neuroscience, Searle 5–474, Northwestern University Medical School, Chicago, Illinois 60611, and 4Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, School of Medicine, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48201

Huntington’s disease (HD) is a dominant hereditary neurodegenerative disorder characterized by progressive cognitive decline and motor dysfunction (Bruyn and Went, 1986; Wilson et al., 1987; Albin and Tagle, 1995). The major site of neuron loss in HD is the striatal part of the basal ganglia, and it is this loss that accounts for the progressive movement disorder (Vonsattel et al., 1985; De La Monte et al., 1988; Hedreen et al., 1991; Storey et al., 1992). The disease process, however, does not affect striatal neurons uniformly. In general, striatal projection neurons die in HD (Reiner et al., 1988; Albin et al., 1990a,b, 1992; Kiyama et al., 1990; Richfield et al., 1995), whereas two major types of striatal interneurons, namely cholinergic interneurons and interneurons co-containing somatostatin, neuropeptide Y, and/or neuronal nitric oxide synthase (NOS), survive (Ferrante et al., 1985, 1986, 1987a,b).

The mutated gene and the specific mutation responsible for HD have been known for several years (Huntington’s Disease Collaborative Research Group, 1993). The gene itself is of unknown function, although several lines of evidence suggest that it is involved in intracellular vesicular trafficking (DiFiglia et al., 1995; Sharp et al., 1995; Wood et al., 1996). The mutation in the HD gene involves an expansion of a CAG repeat at the 5′ end of the HD gene beyond the normal 10–35 repeat range for this gene (Albin and Tagle, 1995). Discovery of the gene and gene defect responsible for HD offered the hope that studies of the localization of the HD gene mRNA and the HD protein (termed huntingtin) would provide insight into the pathogenesis and regional selectivity of the disease, but as of yet such studies have made the pathogenesis of HD more of a mystery. Both huntingtin protein and mRNA are widespread in bodily tissues and in the CNS (Li et al., 1993; DiFiglia et al., 1995; Sharp et al., 1995; Bhide et al., 1996; Gourfinkel-An et al., 1997). Furthermore, huntingtin and its mRNA are less abundant in the striatum than in many other brain regions. Additionally, studies of postmortem HD tissue indicate that huntingtin protein and mRNA abundance in surviving striatal neurons is not obviously altered by the mutation or the

Immunohistochemistry and single-cell RT-PCR were used to characterize the localization of huntingtin and/or its mRNA in the major types of striatal neurons and in corticostriatal projection neurons in rats. Single-label immunohistochemical studies revealed that striatum contains scattered large neurons rich in huntingtin and more numerous medium-sized neurons moderate in huntingtin. Double-label immunohistochemical studies showed that the large huntingtin-rich striatal neurons include nearly all cholinergic interneurons and some parvalbuminergic interneurons. Somatostatinergic striatal interneurons, which are medium in size, rarely contained huntingtin. Calbindin immunolabeling showed that the vast majority of the medium-sized striatal neurons that contain huntingtin are projection neurons, but only ~65% of calbindin-labeled projection neurons (localized to the matrix compartment of striatum) were labeled for huntingtin. Calbindin-containing projection neurons of the matrix compartment and calbindin-negative projection neurons of the striatal patch compartment contained huntingtin with comparable frequency. Single-cell RT-PCR confirmed that striatal cholinergic interneurons contain huntingtin, but only ~65% of projection neurons contained detectable huntingtin message. The finding that huntingtin is not consistently found in striatal projection neurons [which die in Huntington’s disease (HD)] but is abundant in striatal cholinergic interneurons (which survive in Huntington’s disease) suggests that the mutation in huntingtin that causes HD may not directly kill neurons. In contrast to the heterogeneous expression of huntingtin in the different striatal neuron types, we found all corticostriatal neurons to be rich in huntingtin protein and mRNA. One possibility raised by our findings is that the HD mutation may render corticostriatal neurons destructive rather than render striatal neurons vulnerable.
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disease process (Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995; Schilling et al., 1995; Trottier et al., 1995; Gourfinkel-An et al., 1997; Sapp et al., 1997), although the N-terminal fragment of huntingtin appears to be cleaved and translocated to the nucleus in some cortical and striatal neurons in at least those HD victims with a high number of CAG repeats (DiFiglia et al., 1997).

Several studies have examined the localization of normal huntingtin and its mRNA in the different types of striatal neurons in rats and humans, in part to help clarify the selectivity of HD for striatal projection neurons over striatal interneurons (Gutekunst et al., 1995; Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995; Ferrante et al., 1997; Kosinski et al., 1997; Sapp et al., 1997). These studies however, have yielded conflicting results. To help clarify the relationship between the localization of huntingtin in striatal neurons and HD pathogenesis, we performed the present studies in which we used several different antisera against huntingtin and sensitive immunohistochemical methods as well as single-cell RT-PCR methodology. We additionally explored the abundance of huntingtin in corticostriatal projection neurons because of the various lines of evidence implicating an excitotoxic process in HD pathogenesis (Beal et al., 1986, 1988, 1991; Young et al., 1988; Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1994, 1997; Huang et al., 1995). Our findings indicate that although all corticostriatal neurons are rich in huntingtin, huntingtin abundance in the different kinds of striatal neurons is not consistently correlated with vulnerability to HD. These results raise the possibility that the gene defect in HD may not directly kill striatal neurons that produce defective huntingtin, but rather it may render corticostriatal neurons destructive to the striatum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects. Male Wistar rats (140–275 gm; Harlan, Indianapolis, IN) were used in the present immunohistochemical studies, whereas young Sprague Dawley rats (3–4 weeks old; Harlan) were used for the single-cell RT-PCR studies. All studies were conducted in accordance with National Institutes of Health and Society for Neuroscience policies on the ethical use of animals in research.

Fluorogold injection. Corticostriatal projection neurons were retrogradely labeled by injections of fluorogold into the striatum, using previously described stereotaxic methods (Anderson and Reiner, 1991; Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1994). These rats were killed 2–3 weeks later and processed for immunofluorescent visualization of huntingtin in corticostriatal neurons using the tyramide signal amplification method, as detailed below.

Tissue fixation. Under deep anesthesia (0.5 ml of 35% chloral hydrate), rats injected with fluorogold or used for immunohistochemistry were perfused transcardially through the ascending aorta with 60 ml of 6% dextran in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 (PB) followed by 200 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.1 M lysine–0.1 M sodium periodate in 0.1 M PB. The brains were removed and post-fixed overnight at 4°C, and then stored for 24 hr in a 20% sucrose/10% glycerol solution at 4°C. The fixed brains were sectioned frozen on a sliding microtome in the transverse plane at 40 μm. Each brain was collected as 6–12 separate series in 0.1 M PB, the sections processed for immunofluorescence were incubated for 1 hr at room temperature in tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC)-conjugated or dichlorotriazinylamino-fluorescein (DTAF)-conjugated secondary antibodies (donkey anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG) at a dilution of 1:200 in PB, the labeled tissue was then rinsed in PB, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and coveredslipped with 9:1 glycerin/0.05 ml carbon buffer or 9:1 glycerol/PB-saline containing p-phenylenediamine. The distribution of labeling was assessed using an Olympus epi-illumination fluorescence microscope system. A Bio-Rad MRC-1000 confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) was also used for this purpose, as well as to evaluate labeling intensity.

For the tyramide signal amplification (TSA) method, after incubation in primary antisera, sections were sequentially incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG or biotinylated anti-mouse IgG diluted 1:50 in PBX, followed by incubation in the appropriate mouse or rabbit TSA complex diluted 1:100 in PBX, each at room temperature for 1 hr. Subsequent to the PAP incubation, the sections were reacted for peroxidase visualization by incubation in 50 ml of 0.05% 3,3′-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) for 10 min, and then adding 200 μl of 3% hydrogen peroxide for an additional 10 min, with continuous agitation throughout. The PAP/DAB-labeled sections were then washed in distilled water, placed in 0.1 M PB, mounted onto gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated, and coverslipped with DPX.

For the tyramide signal amplification (TSA) method, after incubation in primary antisera, sections were sequentially incubated in biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG or biotinylated anti-mouse IgG diluted 1:50–1:200 in PBX, followed by incubation in streptavidin-conjugated peroxidase diluted 1:50–1:200 in PBX, each at room temperature for 1 hr. The sections were then incubated in TRITC-conjugated tyramide or biotinylated tyramide at room temperature for 10–15 min. The TRITC- or tyramide-labeled tissue was mounted on gelatin-coated slides, covered slipped, and viewed as described above for the immunofluorescent material. The tissue labeled with biotinylated tyramide was incubated for 1 hr incubation at room temperature in streptavidin–peroxidase. This peroxidase labeling was visualized with DAB as described above for PAP/DAB labeling, and the labeled sections were mounted, dehydrated, covered slipped, and examined.

Immunohistochemical double labeling. Sections were immunohistochemically double-labeled for huntingtin and a striatal neuron type-specific marker. The type specific markers were as follows: (1) choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) for cholinergic striatal interneurons; (2) parvalbumin (PARV) for the large GABAergic parvalbuminergic striatal interneurons (Cowen et al., 1990; Kita et al., 1990); (3) somatostatin (SS) for the striatal interneurons co-containing SS, neuropeptide Y, and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1997); or (4) calbindin (CALB) for striatal matrix projection neurons (Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1998). To carry out the immunohistochemical double labeling, sections were incubated for 72 hr at 4°C in the two primary antibodies diluted with PBX. Any of three mouse anti-huntingtin antibodies (mAb2166, mAb2168, or mAb2170) was used at a dilution of 1:200. The neuron type-specific primary antisera, their sources, and the antisera dilutions were as follows: (1) goat anti-CHAT (Chemicon), 1:500; (2) rabbit anti-PARV (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), 1:1000; (3) rabbit anti-SS (Incstar, Stillwater, MN), 1:500; and (4) rabbit anti-CALB (Sigma), 1:200. The specificity and efficacy of these antisera have been described previously or were confirmed here (Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1994, 1997, 1998). Tissue incubations were performed by first incubating the sections for 48–72 hr at 4°C in rhodamine- or fluorescein-labeled antibody against huntingtin and one against one of the striatal cell type-specific markers. After they were rinsed, the sections were then sequentially incubated in biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG diluted 1:50–1:200 in PBX, followed by incubation in streptavidin-conjugated perox-
idase diluted 1:50–1:200 in PBX, each at room temperature for 1 hr. The sections were then incubated in TRITC-conjugated tyramide at room temperature for 1 hr. The tissue was subsequently incubated in a secondary antiserum specific for the neuron type-specific antiserum conjugated to DTAF at a 1:50 dilution. The fluorescently labeled sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides, coverslipped, and viewed as described above for the immunofluorescent material.

Quantification of double labeling. The immunohistochemically double-labeled tissue was used to determine the percentage of the CHAT⁺, PARV⁺, SS⁺, and CALB⁺ striatal neurons that were huntingtin-labeled. For CHAT–huntingtin, PARV–huntingtin, and SS–huntingtin double-labeling, all striatal neurons labeled for the neuronal marker (CHAT, PARV, or SS) were examined in each hemisphere in each of three to four rostrocaudally spaced sections in each of three to four rats.

Figure 1. Immunolabeling for huntingtin (Ht) in rat cortex. A, Low-magnification view of motor cortex in PAP/DAB-immunolabeled tissue. Note that perikaryal labeling for huntingtin is most prominent in layer 5 of cortex. B, C, CLSM views of cortex at increasingly higher magnification in tissue labeled for huntingtin using immunofluorescence. Both fields show intense labeling of pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of cortex. The following anti-huntingtin antibodies were used to label the tissue shown in these images: mAb2168 (A) and mAb2166 (B, C). The TSA method was used for B and C.
were obtained and kept at room temperature in 95% O$_2$/5% CO$_2$-nitro-L-arginine, 1 kynurenic acid, 0.1 ascorbic acid, 0.1 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl$_2$, 2 MgCl$_2$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 1 pyruvic acid, 0.2 ascobic acid, 0.1 NG-nitro-l-arginine, 1 kynurenic acid, and 10 glucose. The dorsal striatum or a piece of cortex was then dissected out from the slices and transferred into oxygenated protease (1.5 mg/ml; Sigma) in HBSS. After a 35 min treatment with protease, the striatal or cortical tissue was mechanically dissociated by triturating with Pasteur pipettes. The acutely dissociated striatal or cortical neurons were then plated on a culture dish, which was mounted on the stage of an inverted microscope and perfused continuously with the control saline. Neurons were patch-clamped with an electrode filled with 5 µl of DEPC-treated water. An effort was made to harvest both large and medium-sized striatal neurons and that both cholinergic and glutamatergic projection neurons would be sampled, whereas pyramidal cells, as identified by their distinctive size and morphology, were specifically harvested in the cortical dissociates. Positive pressure was maintained on the electrode during the approach to avoid entry of cellular debris. After the rupture of the membrane patch, the electrode and attached cell were lifted, and the cell was sucked into the electrode. The tip of the electrode was broken, and the contents were expelled into an Eppendorf tube containing 5 µl of H$_2$O, 0.5 µl of RNasin, and 0.5 µl of 0.1 M DTT. The RT reaction was performed using the SuperScript Preamplification System (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The synthesized first-strand cDNAs from the RT reaction were stored at −80°C until use in PCR analysis.

The PCR procedure was performed as described previously (Surmeier et al., 1996; Chen et al., 1997). Thin-walled PCR tubes that contained a mixture of first-strand cDNA template, 10× PCR buffer (5 µl), 25 mM Mg$^{2+}$ (5 µl), 25 mM dNTPs (1 µl), 20 µM primers (2.5 µl), and 2.5 µl Taq DNA polymerase were used. The final reaction volume was adjusted to 50 µl with DEPC-treated water. The Taq enzyme, PCR buffer, Mg$^{2+}$ solution, and four dNTPs were all purchased from Promega (Madison, WI). Amplification was performed on a thermal cycler (MJ Research, Watertown, MA) under the following cycle conditions: denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 56°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 1.5 min for a total 45 cycles. After PCR amplification, an 8.5 µl aliquot of reaction product was analyzed by electrophoresis on ethidium bromide-stained 1.5% agarose gels. In representative cases, amplicons were purified from the gel (Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit, Qiagen) and sequenced by the University of Tennessee Molecular Resource Center (Memphis, TN) or St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital Molecular Resource Center (Memphis, TN). These sequences were found to match published sequences, thereby confirming amplification of the intended gene product.

All cells were typed as to whether they contained detectable huntingtin mRNA. In addition, all striatal medium-sized cells were typed as to whether they contained substance P (SP) and/or enkephalin (ENK) mRNA, and large striatal cells were typed as to whether they contained CHAT mRNA. The primers for the detection of huntingtin cDNAs were 5’-CTTTGCGCTATGAGATCTGGATGTG-3’ and 5’-TCTGACCACTTTGTTTCTCA-3’. The amplified huntingtin cDNA product has a size of 519 bp. Five microliters of cDNA template were used for the huntingtin PCR. For cells showing a negative result for huntingtin cDNA product, PCR was performed a second time using twice as much cDNA as the first time. The primers for the detection of ENK cDNA were 5’-AACAGAGTGAAGGACACTTGCTG-3’ and 5’-CTTCTATCGAGGGTAGAGACT-3’. The amplified ENK cDNA product has a size of 476 bp. The primers for SP were 5’-TGAACATCCTTCCTCAAGAACATGC-3’ and 5’-TATGCTGGCCAAACTTGTACACTC-3’. The amplified products for SP have a size of 513 and/or 468 bp (depending on the mRNA splice variants present). Two to five microliters of cDNA template were used for the SP and ENK-PCR. The primers for the detection of CHAT cDNA were 5’-ATGCCCATTGAGACAACTATCTCTG-3’ and 5’-CTTTGAACCTGAGGGTAGACT-3’. The amplified CHAT cDNA product has a size of 324 bp. Five to ten microliters of cDNA template were used for the CHAT PCR. PCR reactions were performed following procedures designed to minimize the chances of cross-contamination (Cimino et al., 1990). Negative controls for contamination from extraneous and genomic DNA were run for every batch of neurons. Contamination from extraneous sources was checked by replacing the cellular template with water. To ensure that genomic DNA did not contribute to the PCR products, neurons were aspirated and processed in the normal manner except that the reverse transcriptase was omitted. Both controls were consistently negative in these experiments.

**RESULTS**

**Antisera and immunohistochemical labeling methods**

Similar labeling patterns were observed with all five antibodies and by all immunohistochemical methods used. For this...
reason, no distinctions are made below in terms of which antiserum or antibody yielded which pattern. The rabbit polyclonal antiserum, however, did yield the highest background staining of all five antibodies, and the mAb 2172 yielded the lightest signal of the five antibodies used. In general, the labeling patterns were considerably more evident with TSA methods than with the conventional immunofluorescence and peroxidase–antiperoxidase methods.

Cortex

Many neurons in all layers of telencephalic cortex were labeled for huntingtin; however, pyramidal neurons in layer 5 were the most intensely and abundantly labeled (Fig. 1). For these cells, the huntingtin labeling was evident in the perikaryal cytoplasm and proximal dendrites (Fig. 1). The nuclei of the huntingtin-labeled cortical neurons were devoid of labeling, and the cytoplasmic labeling typically had a granular appearance. Because pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of cortex project to striatum (Goldman-Rakic and Selemon, 1986; Wilson, 1987), we combined retrograde labeling with immunolabeling for huntingtin to determine whether the intensely huntingtin-labeled neurons in layer 5 included corticostriatal projection neurons. Injection of fluorogold into the striatum labeled numerous neurons in layers 3 and 5 throughout cortex, all of which were rich or extremely rich in huntingtin (Fig. 2). Ten cortical pyramidal cells were characterized by single-cell RT-PCR analysis to determine whether they contained mRNA for the HD gene (Fig. 3). All 10 cortical neurons that we had identified as layer 5 pyramidal neurons by their size and shape yielded a robust, positive PCR signal for a cDNA fragment that was the predicted size for the HD gene PCR product, thereby indicating that these cells contained mRNA coding for huntingtin (Fig. 3).

Striatum

A uniform scattering of large neurons that were intensely labeled for huntingtin and numerous medium-sized neurons moderately labeled for huntingtin were observed in the striatum. In all cells, granular labeling was evident in the perikaryal cytoplasm and proximal dendrites, and the nucleus was devoid of huntingtin labeling (Fig. 4). No unambiguous labeling of axons or terminals was observed, although the striatal neuropil possessed a dense mat of fine punctate labeling, particularly in the material labeled by the tyramide signal amplification method. The distribution of huntingtin-labeling of perikarya and neuropil was uniform in striatum, suggesting that the patch and matrix compartments of striatum possessed no major differences in huntingtin localization.

Double labeling revealed that nearly all CHAT

$^{1}$ striatal interneurons (99.0%) were intensely labeled for huntingtin (Fig. 5, Table 1). In addition, the vast majority of the striatal neurons intensely labeled for huntingtin were CHAT$^{+}$. That cholinergic striatal interneurons contain huntingtin was confirmed by single-cell RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 2). All 10 of the large striatal neurons that we examined contained CHAT mRNA and thus were striatal cholinergic interneurons, and all yielded a robust, positive PCR signal for huntingtin mRNA. Many of the remaining large huntingtin-rich striatal neurons are PARV$^{+}$ interneurons, because ~17.8% of the PARV$^{+}$ neurons were found to be rich in huntingtin (Fig. 6A,B, Table 1). The remaining PARV$^{+}$ interneurons, however, were only moderately or lightly labeled for huntingtin. By contrast to the cholinergic and parvalbuminergic

---

Figure 3. An ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel showing single-cell RT-PCR data for a cortical pyramidal and four striatal neurons in rat. The mRNA harvested from single neurons was reverse-transcribed into cDNA, and the cDNA was used as a template to PCR-amplify an HD gene-specific DNA fragment. Cortical pyramidal cells were identified by their distinctive morphology before aspiration. Striatal cholinergic interneurons were identified by expression of CHAT mRNA, whereas projection neurons were identified by expression of ENK or SP mRNAs or both. For each of the five neurons shown, one lane shows the HD gene PCR signal, whereas for the striatal neurons additional lanes show signals for the neuron type-specific markers. The identified cortical pyramidal neuron shows a PCR signal for HD message (NEURON #1), the striatal cholinergic neuron shows a PCR signal for HD message (NEURON #2), the ENK$^{+}$ striatal projection neuron does not show a PCR signal for HD message (NEURON #3), the SP$^{+}$ striatal projection neuron shows a PCR signal for HD message (NEURON #4), and the SP$^{+}$/ENK$^{+}$ striatal projection neuron shows a PCR signal for HD message (NEURON #5). The first and last lanes show the molecular weight (MW) marker, whereas the second lane shows that omitting the RT step yields no HD gene product.
interneurons, the SS+ striatal interneurons, which are medium-sized, were typically devoid of huntingtin (1.9% contained huntingtin) (Fig. 6C,D, Table 1).

To assess the prevalence of huntingtin among striatal projection neurons, we used calbindin (CALB) immunolabeling to identify striatal projection neurons in the matrix compartment. We found that only 63.8% of the CALB+ matrix compartment neurons were immunolabeled for huntingtin, and all of these were moderate in huntingtin (Fig. 7A,B, Table 1). The abundance of huntingtin-immunolabeled perikarya and their labeling intensity were no different in the CALB-poor patch compartment of striatum than in the CALB-rich matrix, thus suggesting that patch compartment projection neurons contain huntingtin with the same frequency as matrix compartment projection neurons (Fig. 7C,D). However, an exact percentage of patch neurons containing huntingtin could not be determined, because we had no independent marker of projection neurons in the patch compartment.

Fifty-one medium-sized striatal neurons were characterized by single-cell RT-PCR (Fig. 2). Each neuron could be positively identified as a projection neuron because it contained SP and/or ENK mRNA. Of these neurons, 62.7% contained huntingtin mRNA. This frequency corresponds well to the frequency of huntingtin in striatal projection neurons that we observed with immunolabeling.

The individually sampled medium-sized striatal neurons were then categorized into three projection neuron types as follows: (1) neurons containing only ENK mRNA (ENK+), which are known to predominantly project to globus pallidus (Reiner and Anderson, 1990); (2) neurons containing only SP mRNA (SP+), which are known to project to the entopeduncular nucleus and substantia nigra (Kawaguchi et al., 1990; Reiner and Anderson, 1990); and (3) neurons containing both ENK and SP mRNAs (ENK+/SP+), which appear to preferentially project to the substantia nigra (Surmeier et al., 1992, 1996). Our single-cell RT-
PCR results showed that huntingtin mRNA was present in 9 of 16 (56.25%) ENK+ neurons, 9 of 10 (90%) SP+ neurons, and 14 of 25 (56%) ENK+/SP+ neurons (Table 2).

**Basal telencephalon**

To further evaluate the relationship between huntingtin localization and neuronal vulnerability in HD, we examined several additional basal telencephalic regions that survive well or relatively well in HD. We found that large neurons in globus pallidus, the entopeduncular nucleus, the ventral pallidum and the nucleus basalis of Meynert were intensely labeled for huntingtin (Fig. 8). All CHAT+ basal forebrain neurons examined by double-labeling immunohistochemical methods were found to be intensely labeled for huntingtin (Table 1). Within globus pallidus, double-labeling studies revealed that 94% of the PARV+ neurons contained huntingtin (Fig. 8C,D, Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The present results confirm and expand previous findings, indicating that endogenous huntingtin in rat telencephalon is a cytoplasmic protein that is localized to the perikarya and proximal dendrites of neurons and is most abundant in the larger neurons of cortex, striatum, and basal telencephalon (DiFiglia et al., 1995; Gutekunst et al., 1995; Bhide et al., 1996). Of particular note, our single-cell RT-PCR and immunohistochemical data show that huntingtin and its mRNA are uniformly abundant in striatal cholinergic interneurons, which are the largest of striatal neurons, and in the large pyramidal neurons of layer 5, many of which we found to be corticostriatal projection neurons. Huntingtin is also abundant in such large basal telencephalic neurons as those of globus pallidus, the entopeduncular nucleus, the basal nucleus of Meynert, and the ventral pallidum. In contrast, somatostatinergic
striatal interneurons were largely devoid of huntingtin, and only a small fraction of the parvalbuminergic striatal interneurons were rich in huntingtin. Finally, our immunohistochemical and single-cell RT-PCR data show that many striatal projection neurons contain high levels of HD protein and message, whereas many others contain undetectable levels of both. As discussed further below and summarized in Tables 1 and 2, these results indicate that the localization of huntingtin within striatum in particular and telencephalon in general is not consistently correlated with cellular vulnerability in HD (Sharp and Ross, 1996).

Comparison with previous findings by others

The present results confirm previous findings that large neurons in cortex (particularly layer 5 pyramidal neurons), striatum, globus pallidus, and basal telencephalon in primates and rodents are rich in huntingtin (Gutekunst et al., 1995; Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995; Trottier et al., 1995; Gourfinkel-An et al., 1997). There have been conflicting reports, however, on the localization of huntingtin in cholinergic striatal interneurons and its relative abundance in patch versus matrix striatal projection neurons (Table 3). Some

---

Table 1. Summary of present data on frequency of huntingtin in defined types of telencephalic neurons in rats in relation to published information on the vulnerability of these same neuron types in HD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>% containing Ht</th>
<th>Survival in HD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Striatal neurons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAT</td>
<td>Cholinergic interneuron</td>
<td>99.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Survive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SS/NPY/NOS interneuron</td>
<td>1.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Survive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARV</td>
<td>PARV interneuron</td>
<td>17.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Majority die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALB+</td>
<td>Matrix projection neuron</td>
<td>63.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Majority die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical neurons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrograde labeling</td>
<td>Layer 5 corticostriatal projection neuron</td>
<td>100.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Some die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other basal forebrain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neurons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARV</td>
<td>Globus pallidus projection neuron</td>
<td>94.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Some die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChAT</td>
<td>Nucleus basalis cholinergic neuron</td>
<td>100.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Survive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Determined using double-label immunohistochemistry.

<sup>b</sup> Determined using retrograde labeling combined with immunolabeling.

---

Figure 6. Huntingtin (Ht) localization in striatal parvalbuminergic or somatostatinergic interneurons in rat. Striatal tissue was double-labeled for parvalbumin (PARV) (A) and huntingtin (B) or for somatostatin (SS) (C) and huntingtin (D) and viewed using epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy (A, B) or CLSM (C, D). A and B show several large perikarya that intensely labeled for parvalbumin in A, one of which is intensely labeled for huntingtin in B (arrowhead). The arrows in A and B indicate a perikaryon that is unlabeled for PARV but intensely labeled for huntingtin. Many medium-sized perikarya that are unlabeled for parvalbumin in A but are moderately labeled for huntingtin are also evident in B. C and D show several perikarya that are intensely labeled for somatostatin in C but not labeled for huntingtin in D (arrows). Many other medium-sized neuronal perikarya are labeled for huntingtin in D, but none of these are labeled for somatostatin in C. The mAb2168 anti-huntingtin antibody and the TSA method were used to label the tissue shown in B and D. Magnification is the same in A–D.
authors have reported that cholinergic striatal interneurons are devoid of or poor in huntingtin (Ferrante et al., 1997; Kosinski et al., 1997). In contrast, we found by both immunolabeling and single-cell RT-PCR that cholinergic striatal interneurons are rich in huntingtin and account for the vast majority of large striatal neurons that are likely to include cholinergic interneurons contain readily detectable mRNA for the HD gene (Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995). The basis of the discrepancies between our immunohistochemical findings and those of some other groups for striatal cholinergic interneurons is uncertain, but the differences may relate to differences in antisera specificity and/or to possible differences among striatal neuron types in the post-translational processing of huntingtin. Our single-cell RT-PCR data and the published in situ hybridization data, however, are not subject to such uncertainties. Thus, the available data support the view that cholinergic striatal interneurons contain high levels of huntingtin protein, although they may process it differently than do other neurons.

With respect to the localization of huntingtin in patch versus matrix striatal projection neurons (Table 3), one previous study in humans (Gutekunst et al., 1995) and one in rats (Kosinski et al., 1997) reported that patch neurons are richer than matrix neurons in huntingtin, whereas two other studies in humans reported that regions of striatum that may correspond to striosomes are poorer in perikaryal and neuropil labeling for huntingtin than are surrounding striatal regions (Ferrante et al., 1997; Sapp et al., 1997). We did not observe any differences between patch and matrix striatal compartments in rats, which is in accord with a previous in situ hybridization histochemistry study (Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995) and a previous immunohistochemical study (Bhide et al., 1996). As in the case of striatal cholinergic interneurons, these discrepancies in immunohistochemical findings may be attributable to differences in antisera specificity and/or to possible differences among striatal neuron types in the processing of huntingtin. The in situ hybridization data, however, are not subject to such uncertainties. Thus, taken together, the data do not support a clear difference between striatal patch and matrix neurons in huntingtin localization. It remains controversial whether patch or matrix projection neurons, if either, are more vulnerable in HD (Ferrante et al., 1986, 1987a,b; Kowall et al., 1987; Seto-Ohshima et al., 1988; Hedreen and Folstein, 1995).

Our finding that parvalbuminergic striatal neurons are typically moderate or poor in huntingtin is consistent with a previous report (Table 3) that also used parvalbumin immunolabeling to identify this type of large GABAergic interneuron in rat striatum (Kosinski et al., 1997). Because diaphorase, NOS, and somatostatin are largely found in the same striatal neurons (Figueroedo-Cardenas et al., 1996), our finding that somatostatinergic neurons are largely devoid of huntingtin is consistent with previous reports that striatal neurons containing diaphorase or NOS in rats

Table 2. Summary of present data on frequency of HD gene mRNA in defined types of striatal projection neurons in rats in relation to published information on the vulnerability of these same neuron types in HD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Projection target</th>
<th>% containing Ht</th>
<th>Survival in HD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Entopenduncular nucleus</td>
<td>90.0*</td>
<td>Majority die slowly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENK</td>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td>56.3*</td>
<td>Majority die rapidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP/ENK</td>
<td>Substantia nigra</td>
<td>56.0*</td>
<td>Majority die rapidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All combined</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.7*</td>
<td>Majority die</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined using single-cell RT-PCR.

Figure 7. Huntingtin (Ht) localization in striatal projection neurons in rat. Striatal tissue was double-labeled for calbindin (A, C) and huntingtin (B, D) and viewed using epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy. A and B show many medium-sized neuronal perikarya that are intensely labeled for calbindin (CALB) in A and moderately labeled for huntingtin in B (arrowheads), and some other medium-sized neuronal perikarya that are labeled for calbindin in A but unlabeled for huntingtin in B (arrows). C shows a calbindin-poor patch that is largely devoid of perikaryal or neuropil labeling for calbindin. As shown in D, medium-sized neuronal perikarya labeled for huntingtin appear as abundant and as well labeled in the patch as in the matrix compartment. The mAb2166 anti-huntingtin antibody and the TSA method were used to label the tissue shown in B and D. Magnification in A as in B; magnification in C as in D.
and humans are devoid of huntingtin (Ferrante et al., 1997; Kosinski et al., 1997) (Table 3). Because not all somatostatin neurons contain NOS or diaphorase (Figueroedo-Cardenas et al., 1996), however, our findings show that striatal interneurons containing any combination of somatostatin, NOS, diaphorase, and neuropeptide Y are typically devoid of immunodetectable huntingtin. Finally, our finding that not all striatal projection neurons contain detectable huntingtin protein or message is consistent with previous reports in rats (Kosinski et al., 1997) and humans (Ferrante et al., 1997). Our RT-PCR studies further suggest that striatal projection neuron types differ in their content of huntingtin message and protein, because huntingtin message was much more commonly present among the SP type than the ENK and SP/ENK types of striatal projection neuron. Data from in situ hybridization studies in humans are consistent with our findings in rats, in that they show heterogeneity among medium-sized striatal projection neurons (the vast majority of which are projection neurons) in their level of expression of huntingtin mRNA (Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995).

Implications for the pathogenesis of HD

The finding that striatal neurons are not uniquely enriched in huntingtin as compared with neurons in other parts of the brain has left it unclear why the striatum is the major site of brain pathology in HD. Assuming that our findings in rats can be generalized to humans, and because the gene mutation in HD does not seem to significantly alter the regional or cellular expression of huntingtin or its mRNA (Aronin et al., 1995; Landwehrmeyer et al., 1995; Schilling et al., 1995; Trottier et al., 1995; Bhide et al., 1996; Gourfinkel-An et al., 1997; Sapp et al., 1997), our findings raise additional uncertainty about how the HD mutation selectively leads to the greater vulnerability of striatal projection neurons as compared with striatal interneurons (Table 1). For example, cholinergic interneurons do not die in HD (Kowall et al., 1987), yet they appear to be richer in huntingtin than are striatal projection neurons, many of which contain no detectable huntingtin protein or message. Even among projection neuron types, our single-cell RT-PCR data indicate that the type of striatal projection neuron that is most vulnerable in HD, the ENK type (which projects mainly to the external pallidal segment, the correspondent of the rat globus pallidus), is poorer in huntingtin mRNA than is the less vulnerable type of projection neuron, the SP+ type (which projects heavily to the internal pallidal segment, the correspondent of the rat entopeduncular nucleus) (Reiner et al., 1988; Albin et al., 1990a; Richfield and Herkenham, 1994; Richfield et al., 1995). In addition, we found that presumptive striatonigral projections neurons (i.e., those

Figure 8. Huntingtin (Ht) localization in the typically large neurons of the globus pallidus and entopeduncular nucleus in rat. Scattered large neurons intensely labeled for huntingtin in globus pallidus and the basal nucleus of Meynert, as viewed with CLSM, are shown in A and B, respectively. C and D show a field of view of globus pallidus in tissue double-labeled for parvalbumin (PARV) (C) and huntingtin (D), as viewed using epi-illumination fluorescence microscopy. Note that many pallidal neurons labeled for parvalbumin in C are also labeled for huntingtin in D (arrows). The TSA method and the following antibodies were used to obtain the anti-huntingtin labeling shown in these images: mAb2170 (A, B); mAb2168 (D). Magnification in A as in B; magnification in C as in D.
containing SP and ENK), which are as vulnerable as ENK+ neurons in HD, are also poor in message for huntingtin. There is not, however, a strictly inverse relationship between huntingtin abundance and neuronal vulnerability. Somatostatineric striatal interneurons, which survive well in HD, are largely devoid of huntingtin, whereas parvalbuminergic striatal interneurons, which appear to be as vulnerable as the projection neurons (Harrington and Kowall, 1991; Ferrer et al., 1994), are often rich to moderate in huntingtin. Finally, cortical pyramidal, pallidal, and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons all are rich in huntingtin, but are much less vulnerable in HD than are striatal projection neurons (Sharp and Ross, 1996). Thus, the level of huntingtin in telencephalic neurons does not by itself appear to explain why certain types of neurons die (Sharp et al., 1995; Gourfinkel-An et al., 1997).

A possible way in which the HD mutation might act to kill some neurons that contain it but not others is if the mutated protein interacts with cell type-specific proteins that are unique to vulnerable neurons. For example, cell-type specific proteolytic enzymes, nuclear transport proteins, and aggregation factors may cause cell type-specific accumulation of pathogenic intranuclear inclusions containing the N-terminal fragment of mutated huntingtin. Such intranuclear inclusions have been reported to occur in human HD victims and in a transgenic mouse model of HD, and it has been proposed that they may underlie HD pathogenesis (Davies et al., 1997; DiFiglia et al., 1997). Nonetheless, although a number of huntingtin-interacting proteins have been identified (Li et al., 1995; Burke et al., 1996; Kalchman et al., 1996, 1997; Wanker et al., 1997), none of these appears to be cell type specific. Thus, there is presently no clear evidence for this as the basis of the specificity of HD cell loss.

Our finding that cortical layer V pyramidal neurons are uniformly rich in huntingtin raises an intriguing possibility. Many layer V pyramidal neurons, as well as layer III cortical neurons, project to striatum (Goldman-Rakic and Selemon, 1986; Wilson, 1987; Cowan and Wilson, 1994; Kincaid and Wilson, 1996). If the gene defect somehow promotes excessive glutamate release by the striatal terminals of these neurons, then HD pathogenesis could involve excitotoxic destruction of striatal neurons. It is possible, in fact, that the neuronal intranuclear inclusions that are observed in cortical neurons in HD and in transgenic HD mice might be involved in a perturbation of corticostriatal neuron function that has excitotoxic consequences, for example by decreasing transcription of glutamate autoreceptors that dampen glutamate release (Mangiarini et al., 1996; Davies et al., 1997; DiFiglia et al., 1997; Cha et al., 1998). Several additional facts are consistent with the possibility of corticostriatal excitotoxicity in HD. First, cholinergic and somatostatinergic striatal interneurons are poor in cortical input and glutamatergic receptors, whereas striatal projection neurons and parvalbuminergic interneurons are rich in both (Wilson, 1987; Kita et al., 1990; Lapper and Bolam, 1992; Petralia and Wenthold, 1992; Martin et al., 1993; Sato et al., 1993; Tallaksen-Greene and Albin, 1994, 1996; Chen and Reiner, 1996; Chen et al., 1996, 1997; Bernard et al., 1997). Second, the patterns of striatal damage in rats and primates after quinolinic acid injection into the striatum (Albin et al., 1990a, b, 1992; Beal et al., 1991; Bazzett et al., 1993, 1994; Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1994, 1997, 1998), after global ischemia (Ches-
selet et al., 1990; Uemura et al., 1990), and after systemic administration of mitochondrial toxins such as 3-nitropropionic acid (Beal, 1992; Beal et al., 1993a,b; Browne et al., 1997), all of which are thought to act via glutamate receptor-mediated excitotoxicity, closely resemble those in HD. Third, glutamate receptor-bearing neurons are lost in HD (Young et al., 1988; Arzberger et al., 1997). Fourth, genotypic variation in the GluR6-type kainate receptor subunit is associated with age of HD onset (Rubinsztein et al., 1997). Thus, the HD gene defect may act to render corticostriatal neurons destructive rather than to render striatal neurons vulnerable.

If our interpretation is true, then it raises the question as to why the striatum among cortical pyramidal neuron target areas is the most vulnerable in HD. As already discussed for the different types of striatal neurons, a critical determinant of the vulnerability of other cortical targets in HD may be the extent to which they receive input from cortical or any other huntingtin-rich glutamatergic neurons and the extent to which they possess certain types of glutamate receptors. Although the relative vulnerability in HD of various regions outside of the basal ganglia has not been systematically evaluated, a number of cortical targets, including cortical layers III, V, and VI, substantia nigra, globus pallidus, and subthalamic nucleus, undergo cell loss in HD, but less so than does striatum (Byers et al., 1983; Vonsattel et al., 1985; De La Monte et al., 1988; Cudkowicz and Kowall, 1990; Sharp and Ross, 1996). It is possible, for example, that dysfunction of glutamatergic cortical input arising from layer 5 neurons and/or subthalamic terminals in globus pallidus in HD may be responsible for the death of the pallidal neurons on which they synapse (Kitai and Kita, 1987). Similarly, the local collaterals of layer 5 cortical neurons may contribute to the death of layer 6 cortical neurons observed in HD. Nonetheless, this hypothesis of HD pathogenesis is likely to be overly simplified, because it does not readily explain the dorsomedial to ventrolateral gradient of cell loss observed in HD striatum, nor does it take into account how striatal neurons might affect each other’s survival or how intercell-type differences in the ability to combat the neurodegenerative process might shape the spatial and cellular pattern of cell death in HD (Medina et al., 1996; Bernier and Parent, 1998; Figueredo-Cardenas et al., 1998).
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